

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is surprising & very upsetting to me. It also scares me to think that a broadcasting network has that much power.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge. Doesn't that mean that it is obligated by law to serve the public interest, not just personal agendas. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.